

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

DATE MAILED:

APPLICA	TION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED	INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/1	35,413	08/14/98	FORBES		L	303.354US2
	MM11/0223 SCHWEGMAN LUNDBERG WOESSNER & KLUTH PO BOX 2938			_		EXAMINER
				,	NGUYE	N, V
		MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
					2818	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

. · ·							
	Application No. 0 Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary	Examiner Group Art Unit						
	V. Narejon 28/8						
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—						
Period for Response	\neg						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE						
from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by defaul	16(a). In no event, however, may a response be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS response within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. It, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status							
Responsive to communication(s) filed on Ple -	Amendment fied on 10/19/98.						
☐ This action is FINAL.	, , ,						
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
$\text{Polaim(s)} \qquad \qquad \boxed{9-2/, 23}$	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.						
(S) 19-21, 25	is/are rejected.						
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————	is/are objected to.						
☐ Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or election						
Application Papers	requirement.						
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.							
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.							
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.							
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)							
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been □ received. 							
 received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)_ received in this national stage application from the International Stage application from the Internation from the Internati							
*Certified copies not received:							
Attachment(s)							
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413						
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	☐ Other						
Office Action Summary							

Application/Control Number: 09/0191,713 Page 2

Art Unit: 2818

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 19-21 and 25-42 are present for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 19-21 and 25-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee in view of Aoyama et al.

Lee (See fig.1) teaches a method of using a capacitor in a memory cell structure for storing data having an amorphous silicon carbide layer as insulating film. Col. 3 (see line 30) mentions that the structure having a bandgap energy of 2.2 eV, thus obviously suggests the use of current conduction between the upper electrode and the insulator at lower voltage than required for a polysilicon floating gate a-SiC insulator interface.

It is noted that Lee does not suggest his structure as a floating gate transistor; how ever,
Aoyama et al (see fig.2) while also suggests the use of an a-SiC insulator further shows that such
use of SiC film is employed in a memory floating gate device. Thus it would have been obvious to
one skilled in this art to apply Aoyama et al into the similar device of Lee at al to create a floating

Art Unit: 2818

gate transistor having an a-SiC insulator with small barrier energy bandgap as claimed. Other claimed features are considered as obvious design choices to an expedient artisan in this art.

The prior arts cited but not relied upon are considered as pertinent to the present application.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Viet Nguyen at telephone number (703) 308-4897.

V. Nguyen

2/15/99

Viet Q. Nguyen Primary Examiner

- riet Neguyea